

1 Defendant entered the vehicle inspection lanes as the driver and sole occupant of a 2005 Nissan
2 Altima (“the vehicle”). The vehicle was registered to Defendant’s mother.

3 At primary inspection, a CBP Officer asked Defendant where he was going. Defendant
4 stated that he had just had his wisdom teeth removed and was returning home. Defendant said that
5 he was in a lot of pain and could not wait to arrive at his house. The Officer began to inspect the
6 rear of the vehicle, as Defendant watched through his rear view mirror. The Officer then inspected
7 the vehicle’s undercarriage, and observed an area between the rear bumper and trunk that appeared
8 to have been tampered with; a white bead of caulk was present in this area. He then requested a
9 canine inspection from another CBP Officer, who utilized his Narcotics Detector Dog to screen
10 the vehicle. The canine alerted to the presence of narcotics emanating from the vehicle. Defendant
11 and the vehicle were then referred to the secondary lot for further inspection.

12 At secondary inspection, a CBP Officer asked Defendant who owned the vehicle.
13 Defendant stated that the vehicle belonged to his mother, and stated that he had traveled to
14 Mexicali, Mexico to have brake work performed on the vehicle. Upon further inspection of the
15 vehicle, a total of 18 packages of a white powdery substance were recovered from a non-factory
16 compartment within 20.12 kilograms, which later field-tested positive for the presence of cocaine.

17 III

18 UNITED STATES’ MOTION FOR RECIPROCAL DISCOVERY

19 To date, the United States has provided Defendant with 42 pages of discovery and one
20 DVD. The United States moves the Court to order Defendant to provide all reciprocal discovery
21 to which it is entitled under Rules 16(b) and 26.2. Rule 16(b)(2) requires Defendant to disclose
22 to the United States all exhibits and documents which Defendant “intends to introduce as evidence
23 in chief at the trial” and a written summary of the names, anticipated testimony, and bases for
24 opinions of experts Defendant intends to call at trial under Rules 702, 703, and 705 of the Federal
25 Rules of Evidence.

26 //

IV

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Government respectfully requests that its motion be granted.

DATED: November 2, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

KAREN P. HEWITT
United States Attorney

s/ William A. Hall, Jr.
WILLIAM A. HALL, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney